

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/062,199 | FOSTER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jamal A. Fox | 2664 | |

All Participants:

(1) Jamal A. Fox

(2) Chun M. Ng
Status of Application: 71

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2/2/2006
Time: 2:00 P.M. EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 13, 23, 25, 34, , 37 and 47


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Chun M. Ng provided authorization to amend claims 1, 13, 25 and 37 to include either the limitation of the communication being changed from the Fibre Channel frame to an InfiniBand Channel frame or the limitation of the communication being changed from the InfiniBand Channel frame to a Fibre Channel frame. Chun also provided authorization to cancel claims 11, 23, 34 and 47..